Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/802,485	KALISKI, BURTON S.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BEEMNET W. DADA	2135	

		BEENINET W. BROK	2100
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE F	REPLY FILED <u>12 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
have b under set for may re	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
•	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w IDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.
4. □	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment (FTOL-324).
^{3.} ☐	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendment canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s).	ionabio ii cabiiintea iii a coparato, i	among med amonamon dandoning me
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		
	Claim(s) rejected to:		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
	<u>JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	See Continuation Sheet.		to the first the discountry because.
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/KIN	MYEN VU/		
	ervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2135		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claim language would change the scope of dependent claims and would require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that the art on record fails to teach the limitation "wherein the multi-party secure computation protocol comprises the client and the server providing their respective secrets as input to respective protocol operations that jointly calcuate the third secret as a function of the client and server secrets" Examiner would point out that Spelman et al. US (5,638,445) teaches the system wherein the multi-party secure computation protocol comprises the client and the server providing their respective secrets as input to respective protocol operations that jointly calculate the third secret as a function of the client and server secrets (Fig. 2). The GSO and PI are provided as input to calculate (C[GSO]k1; D[PI]k2; E[k1,...]R; E[k2,...]R), the third secret. Wherein the GSO is the secret input of the client and therefore provided by the client and PI is the secret of the server and therefore provided by the server as indicated in the last office action.